UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 17-00533 EML -9
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL)
BriAn Backe	NOV 3 0 2017
Defendant.	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
finds that the ends of justice served by the	e record on
Failure to grant a continuar See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	nce would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
number of defendants, the na novel questions of fact or law, that i	o complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the ature of the prosecution, or the existence of it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for lf within the time limits established by this section. See
	nce would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. §
	nce would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity cheduled case commitments, taking into account the J.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	nce would unreasonably deny the defendant the tive preparation, taking into account the exercise of due $1(7)(B)(iv)$.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 11/30/17	Mun
	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Wir Colrill
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney